• NCEP = National Centers for Environmental Prediction



- Weather Research and Forecasting Non-hydrostatic Mesoscale Model (WRF-NMM)** model is run as the NAM three names (NAM, WRF, or NMM) refer to the same model output
- run at 00,06,12,18Z and forecasting to 84 hours
- domain is regional, horizontal resolution Δ =12 km, outputs every hour
- dynamics: fully compressible, **<u>non</u>**-hydrostatic (with hydrostatic *option*)



• vertical coord (60 levels)

$$\eta = \frac{\pi - \pi_T}{\pi_S - \pi_T}$$

where π is the hydrostatic component of the pressure, computed using the dry air density

$$\pi(x, y, z, t) = \pi_T + \int_z^{z_T} \rho_d(x, y, z', t) g dz'$$

and π_s and π_T its values along the surface and top boundaries (Laprise, 1992; MWR Vol. 120). If z_T is placed at infinity then π_T =0 and π is simply the hydrostatic partial pressure of the dry air.

• η is a terrain-following coordinate



Introduce model variable $\mu = \pi_s - \pi_T$ then $\eta = \frac{\pi - \pi_T}{\mu}$

• prognostic variables: Cartesian velocity components u,v,w; perturbations of potential temperature θ , geopotential Φ (=*g Z*), and surface pressure of dry air μ from their values in a hydrostatic reference state; also turbulent kinetic energy *k*, water vapor mixing ratio *r*, rain/snow mixing ratio, and cloud water/ice mixing ratio





• one-way or two-way nesting

• one way nesting: influence propagates from outer domain to inner: evolving solution on the outer domain furnishes evolving boundary conditions for the inner domain, but no feedback from inner domain back to outer

• two way nesting: evolving solution on the outer domain furnishes evolving boundary conditions for the inner domain; inner solution revises elements of the outer solution

NAM's parameterizations

Vertical transport by unresolved scales of motion

• operational: Mellor-Yamada-Janjic (eddy viscosity/diffusivity *K* based on turbulent kinetic energy equation)

<u>Radiation</u>

- clear-sky absorbers: dynamic** water vapour; uniformly mixed (330 ppm) CO2; latitudinally- and seasonally- varying climatological ozone; solar constant chopped by 3% to account for aerosols
- solar computations hourly; two streams diffuse & one (downward) stream direct; spectrum divided into two bands each carrying apprx. 50% of the energy: UV/visible and near infrared
- longwave computations hourly, >100 bands

**meaning, interacts with model's resolved humidity field



NAM's parameterizations

Clouds and precip

- operational: Betts-Miller-Janjic deep convection, column moist adjustment towards a well-mixed profile (moist adiabatic)
- tested: Kain-Fritsch (cloud model) deep & shallow convection (& others, incl. Grell 3d ensemble cumulus scheme for high resolution domains, allowing subsidence in neighboring columns
- convective precip (falls instantly) determined as change of precipitable water as model sounding forced to moist adiabat between LFC and EL (equil. lvl.)
- PCP scheme tracks 4 classes of hydrometeor... liquid cloud drops, raindrops, small and large ice particles





Coupling to surface

• 4 layer soil with vegetation. Remote sensing data for vegetation type and "greenness fraction"

• daily remotely sensed observations to update snow cover and water equivalent snow depth. A snow density variable is used, and the predicted percentage of frozen precipitation reaching the surface updates the snow budget

 water surfaces held at initially observed temperature. Each grid box is designated as having a land or water surface - but no subgrid structure



Advanced models ("higher-order closures") for transport by unresolved scales of motion

(note: used in research, but not, so far, in NWP)

• let w=W+w', q=Q+q' where w,q are the (total) vertical velocity and humidity, W,Q are **resolved** vertical velocity and humidity, and the primes represent the sub-grid (hidden, fluctuating) motion. Then the total vertical humidity flux

$$w q = W Q + w' q'$$

where *W Q* is the resolved flux, remainder the subgrid flux

• a second-order closure scheme invokes simplified budget equations for the subgrid fluxes themselves (these may be derived from the Navier-Stokes equations and the thermodynamic and humidity conservation eqns), eg.

tendency

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + W \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} \overrightarrow{w' q'} = -\overrightarrow{w'^2} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{w'^2} \tau & \frac{\partial \overline{w' q'}}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\overline{w' q'}}{\tau}$$
flux advection
flux diffusion

Advanced models ("higher-order closures") for transport by unresolved scales of motion

 w'^2 variance of the unresolved vertical velocity... the mean-square value of the fluctuation w'. It is equal, by definition, to the square of the standard deviation of w', ie. $\overline{w'^2} \equiv \sigma_w^2$

and it cannot be negative.

$$\tau = \frac{\lambda}{\sigma_w}$$
 is the "timescale" of the unresolved vertical velocity



Gradient in resolved humidity in conjunction with fluctuating vertical motion... producing vertical humidity transport. In this case, one intuitively expects a positive, ie. an upward, flux. However although the flux production term is (in the case shown at the left) positive, the budget equation does not *mandate* that the flux should run down the "driving" gradient. This treatment allows "counter-gradient" fluxes, which indeed are observed at some times in some places NCEP's "GFS" (Global Forecast System) & Global Spectral Model** (ver. 11.0.6)

- GFS model a coupled model: atmosphere model + ocean model + land/soil model http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/GFS/doc.php#pbl + sea ice model http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/data-access/model-data/model-datasets/global-forcast-system-gfs
- Global Spectral Model used for NWP, & as atmos. for NCEP's coupled GCM
- **<u>dynamics</u>**: formulated in vorticity (η), horiz. divergence (D_p), log sfc pressure (log p_s), specific humidity, virtual temperature, cloud liquid and solid water
- run at 00,06,12,18Z and forecasting to 16 days (384 hours)
- global domain

** the Global Spectral Model has been operational since about 1980. Resolution, and model physics (parameterizations) have been steadily refined

- spectral discretization in horiz. (see over); week one T574, week two T190 (~28 km, dropping to ~70 km)
- vertical discretization by finite difference, 64 sigma (σ) levels, where $\sigma = p/p_s$ (if $p_s = 1000$ hPa, 15 levels below 800 hPa with top level at 0.3 hPa)
- timestep 7.5 minutes (except radiation: longwave 3 hours, shortwave hourly)
- artificial diffusion to smooth out short wavelength numerical noise in vorticity, divergence, virtual temperature, specific humidity, cloud water

What is a "spectral model"?

• at given time *t* on given σ level, the (2D) field of (e.g.) temperature is expressed as a superposition (sum) of wave components, each wave (or "mode") having its own amplitude:

$$T(\theta, \varphi, \sigma, t) = \sum_{0}^{l=L} \sum_{0}^{m=M} A_{lm}(\sigma, t) Y_{l}^{m}(\theta, \varphi)$$

where

$$Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi) = e^{j m \varphi} P_l^m(\cos \theta)$$

and (recall) $e^{j m \varphi} = \cos m \varphi + j \sin m \varphi$

- Y_{ℓ}^{m} is "spherical harmonic function of degree ℓ and order m", and P_{ℓ}^{m} is the "associated Legendre polynomial" (also describes H atom & other systems with spherical symmetry)
- thus *T* (and other variables) are modelled as *continuous* functions of lat. & longitude
- the longitudinal waves ("modes") are sines and cosines
- The coefficients $A_{lm}(\sigma, t)$ carry the dependence on time *t* and height σ

What is a "spectral model"?

- T574 means 574 waves are carried
- wavelength of the shortest is $360^{\circ}/574 = 0.63^{\circ}$
- grid model of corresponding resolution would require 2 gridpoints per each shortest

wavelength, so would have grid spacing 1/2 x 0.63° (~ 35 km in latitude)**

**c.f. NOAA: "resolution 28 km (70 km for 2nd week)"



Parameterizations are computed on a "Gaussian grid"

• coordinate system for "physics" calculations

• no grid points at the poles

 at every time step model dependent variables are interpolated onto the Gaussian grid for the "gridpoint computations" (parameterizations)

NCEP T62 Gaussian grid

NCEP's Global Spectral Model (ver. 11.0.6)

Why still spectral? (speculation)

- historical continuity (continuously evolving model)
- not subject to Courant condition (limiting time step)
- not subject to non-linear computational instability
- trivial to refine horiz. resolution when comput'l resources allow

Why still formulated in vorticity and divergence? (note: GSM is <u>not</u> subject to all the restrictions of the quasi-geostrophic model)

- historical continuity (continuously evolving model)
- solid theoretical justification (QG paradigm) to consider vertical vorticity, horizontal divergence, & geostrophic vorticity- and thickness- advection as basic to weather development

NCEP's "GFS" (Global Forecast System) – parameterizations

• shortwave radiation: two-stream (down/up) multi-band (8) scheme with response to (climatological) ozone and aerosol, responds to (model) clouds and water vapor. Surface albedo a function of vegetation type (16), and over ocean depends on zenith angle. Albedo of sea ice a function of snow cover and sfc temp

• longwave radiation: 16 spectral bands, ozone, water vapor, CO2, methane, nitrous oxide, oxygen, halocarbons (CFCs), water and ice clouds; no aerosol effects. Longwave emissivity is 1 (black body emission) for all surfaces

• SST anomaly damped during the course of the forecast, with half-life 2 months

• sea-ice from daily analysis, assumed 3 m thick, ocean temperature below assumed 271.2 K. Surface temperature of sea ice is determined from an energy balance. Snow accumulation does not affect the albedo or the heat capacity of the ice

NCEP's "GFS" (Global Forecast System) – parameterizations

• complex deep ("penetrative") subgrid convection scheme: " trigger condition is that a parcel lifted from the convection starting level without entrainment must reach its level of free convection within 120-180 hPa of ascent, proportional to the large-scale vertical velocity. This is intended to produce more convection in large-scale convergent regions but less convection in large-scale subsidence regions."

• simple cloud precipitation and cloud parameterization (PCP) scheme that predicts cloud liquid and ice based on RH and temperatures in each model layer, then diagnoses rain and/or snow rates based on the amount of cloud liquid or ice predicted

• boundary-layer turbulence represented as diffusion, diffusivity *K* a polynomial function of diagnosed PBL height (*K*-profile method). PBL height determined from resolved *U* and theta profiles (bulk-Richardson approach)... once PBL height determined, the *K*-profile of the coefficient of diffusivity is specified as a cubic function of the height... also a counter-gradient flux parameterization that is based on the fluxes at the surface and the convective velocity scale

• lowest model layer (σ =0.996) assumed to be in the surface layer and the Monin-Obukhov similarity profile relationship is applied to obtain the surface stress and sensible and latent heat fluxes

• gravity wave drag

• snow cover from daily analysis. Precip falls as snow if temperature at σ =.85 is below 0 C. Snow mass prognostically from a budget equation that accounts for accumulation and melting. Snow melt contributes to soil moisture, and sublimation of snow to surface evaporation. Snow cover affects the surface albedo and heat capacity of the soil

• roughness of oceans sensitive to wind, over land prescribed for 12 vegetation types. Soil type and vegetation type data base, incl. vegetation fraction monthly climatology

• land surface processes: soil *T* and water content computed in two layers at depths 0.1 and 1.0 meters; heat capacity, thermal and hydraulic conductivity functions of soil moisture content. Climatological deep-soil temperature specified at 4 meters for soil, constant 272 K specified as the ice-water interface temperature for sea ice. Vegetation partially intercepts precipitation and re-evaporation. Runoff from the surface and drainage from the bottom layer are also calculated.

NCEP Production Suite Review 2 -4 December 2014 Hourly updated models: Rapid Refresh / HRRR review



NCEP Production Suite Review

NOAF

Rapid Refresh / HRRR
 2-3 December 2014
 2

NCEP's Rapid Refresh ("RAP") and High-Resolution Rapid Refresh ("HRRR") Models

- continental-scale, 13 km, hourly-updated assimilation/modeling system operational at NCEP
- WRF model with ARW core
- physics suite: Grell-G3 convection, Thompson/NCAR microphysics, RRTM longwave radiation, Goddard shortwave radiation, MYNN-Olson turbulent mixing**, RUC-Smirnova land-surface model
- 13-km horizontal resolution, 50 vertical levels, $p_{_{\rm top}}$ =10 hPa, sigma vertical coordinate
- rotated lat-lon projection grid
- developed to serve users needing frequently updated short-range weather forecasts, including those in the US aviation community and US severe weather forecasting community, also used energy-related (especially renewable) forecast guidance
- HRRR is (3-km resolution), hourly updated, cloud-resolving atmospheric model (*without any convective parameterization*), assimilating radar data

** Mellor-Yamada-Nakanishi-Niino (MYNN) PBL scheme is a turbulent kinetic energy (TKE)-based local mixing scheme... updated stability functions allow for more mixing in slightly stable conditions...

HRRR-NCEP 04/01/2015 (00:00) 0h fcst

Valid 04/01/2015 00:00 UTC 10m Wind Gust (kt)

